

# GUIDANCE FOR ROMA RESPONSIBLE BUDGETING

- **Ideas, questions from draft reports:**
- Legal background up to now
- Link development planning and budget preparation?
- Problem of drafting budget, implementation and monitoring
- What is now clear?
- Budget instructions + circular NOT YET
- IPA funds /separate or integrated
- Identify sub programme or budget item for every sector, link to the institution – piloting 4+ I sector

# STEPS IN BUDGETING PROCESS

- Draft, clarification of relevance
- Objectives and indicators
- 1.step identify budget items for 4 +1 sector
- Categorise them through impact: a. direct link, b. indirect link, c. NO link
- Step 1- Implementation, impact of distribution of money, budget
- Step 2 - How task and objectives can be specified and measured through indicators.
- Step 3 – analyse of current impact on Roma integration
- Step 4 – monitoring and reallocation
- Step 5 - recommendation

# CATEGORISING BUDGET ITEMS

- Roma responsible budget direct link, for jobs, family, health centres...
- Indirect link, scholarships, compensations, ..in depth analysis
- neutral, no link, subsidies
- Step 1. Which problems are encountered in the context of expenditures per sector?
- Who can benefit from expenditures?
- Step2. So how does objective of Roma integration in every sector impact expenditures?
- Which key data or info is required for measuring to objective be reached?

# HOW DOES CURRENT DISTRIBUTION IMPACT, AFFECT ROMA POPULATION?

- Step 3. Direct transfers, how for employment, health, housing...
- Personnel expenditure by ministries i.e costs, indirect
- Harmonising strategy planning with budget execution
  
- Provide exact money plus benefits for Roma population with LM / institutional classification
  - F.e. What are the impact (s) of public expenditure for Roma on the employment?
- Promotion of institutions, indirect with LM, like application of projects, publications, share of M or F dealing with Roma population
- What can we do to synchronise , streamline actions from strategy with budget?

# ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOMES

- Step 4. Status quo, why, no outcome? Why not.
- Mark where outcome can be
  - positive, negative or 0, correlate expenditures
- Now consequences, which actions can be taken to respond impact analyse?
  - Why reallocations, how to block them? Guarantee for spending.
- Data selection, clear and focused
- Communication improvements, inter or intra ministerial via governmental procedures (impact assessment - whenever project, measures are adopted, SecGen or ministry responsible for Roma integration check impact)

# SUMMARY

- To what extent is expenditure in sector (4+1) relevant for Roma responsible budgeting.
- Formulate, combine indicators, KPI and key figures, LM policy plans and Roma action plans --- Implications for expenditures benchmarks
- Assessment of existing outcomes, 0, plus, minus with connection to action plan
- Derive implications, which actions, changes to improve IN budget instruction reflecting on pilots, 4 + 1 sector + changes in circular

This is for guidelines!

SEPARATE deal with structural changes / laws

Establish better coordination mechanism for budgeting through governmental decision - for NRCP+MoF+LM, if no consequence is to NRCP has to inform government before a second budgeting session to change rules of procedures

Capacity building (after guidelines) what should be covered , components?